IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

APPEAL NO.666 OF 2005
IN
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.66 OF 2004
AND
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.1 OF 2001
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1910 OF 1999
AND
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1918 OF 1999
IN
SUMMARY SUIT NO.2110 OF 1996

M.Ramchandra Raju ... Appellant

versus

Mrs.Prabha P. Shenai ... Respondent

Mr.S.M.Dixit with K.B.Swamy i/by S.K.Legal Associates for appellant.

Mr.Oristopher D'Souza with A.B.Salunke i/by S. Ashwinikumar & Co. for respondent.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN AND
S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, JJ.

DATE : 21st September 2005

PC :

1. Heard learned counsel for the appellant and the respondent. By this appeal the appellant is challenging the order dated 8th April 2005 whereby the learned Single Judge has convicted the appellant for a period of fifteen days and also directed the appellant to pay a fine of

Rs.2,000/- in Contempt Petition No.66 of 2004. After hearing both the parties for some times, both the learned counsel appearing for appellant and respondent consent that the impugned order be set aside. They also submit that no reasons need be given and that they are agreeable that the contempt petition can be argued afresh before the learned Single Judge, strictly on it's own merits and as per law. Mr.Dixit, learned counsel appearing for the appellant states that M/s.S.K.Legal Associates will appear before the learned Single Judge on behalf of the said contemnor. Appeal stands disposed of in terms of the above.

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)